



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,855	03/29/2004	Craig A. Webster	PAT-032A1	3442

29129 7590 06/24/2008

MICHELLE A. ZARINELLI  
C/O WEST CORPORATION  
11808 MIRACLE HILLS DR.  
MAIL STOP: W11-LEGAL  
OMAHA, NE 68154

EXAMINER
----------

TRUONG, LECHI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2194

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/24/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MAZARINELLI@WEST.COM

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/812,855	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WEBSTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LECHI TRUONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-42 are represented for the examination.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101*

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 42 is ejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are directed to non-statutory subject matter.
3. Claim 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to apparatus claim, but appearing to be comprised of software alone without claiming associated computer hardware required for execution . For example, claim 42 recites “means for receiving”, “means for providing”, “means for configuring”. Receiving, providing and configuring were performed by the software modules/functions. Software alone is directed to a non-statutory subject matter.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims **1-6, 8-42** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shalit (US 2002/0122391 A1) in view of Peon et al (US. Patent 7,133665 B1) and further in view of Slaughter (US 5598536 A).

5. **As to claim 1**, Shalit teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: one request( request, para[0028], ln 1-5/ para[0093], ln 1-5/ right col 7, ln 17-20), the given conferee( a user, para[0028], ln 1-5/ a one-line text messaging service, right col 7, ln 17-20), receiving at least one request from the given conferee ( para[0028], ln 1-5/ para[0093], ln 1-5/ right col 7, ln 17-20), to enroll in conferencing services( right col 7, ln 17-20); receiving data representing at least one identifier relating to the given conferee( a telephone number, para[0028], ln 4-9/the caller dials the long distance number to reach a conference, para[0096], ln 1-4); data representing access information( numeric access codes, para[0028], ln 1-7/ para[0056], ln 1-5/ right col 7, ln 17-20), providing data representing access information to the given conferee(para[0028], ln 1-7/ para[0056], ln 1-5/ right col 7, ln 17-20), wherein the given conferee can request access to a given conference call using the access information(right col 7, ln 17-25), and configuring at least one component of a system related to supporting the at least one given conference call to connect the given conferee directly to the given conference call (An alternate interface allows all participants to receive calling instructions directly with support from the hosting instant messenger, chat, collaboration client. Each buddy number can be used for one call. To make additional calls, users must provide another conference, para[0113], ln 4-9), in response to recognition of at least one of the access information and the at least one unique identifier in at number using a standard or VoIP telephone and to enter the access code given to them. The call is received at the conferencing platform from at least two of the selected users. The conferencing platform matches the access codes entered by the at least two users, and places them into a conference call. As a result of this system, the selected users can communicate orally

over the telephone network, para [0028], ln 4-11).

6. Shalit does not teach unique identifier. However, Peon teaches unique identifier (Each telecommunications service requires a unique telephone number. Examples of telecommunications services that require a unique telephone number are automatic routing services, voicemail services, paging services, cellular phone services, and conference call service, col 2, ln 15-20).

7. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Shalit with Peon to incorporate the feature of unique identifier because this provides an easy way access to variety of advances features with reside in a telephone network.

8. Shalit and Peon do not teach unique identifier is a location from which the given conferee can initiate communications to access conferencing services. However, Slaughter teaches the at least one unique identifier is a location from which the given conferee can initiate communications to access conferencing services (After the remote access server 16 determines a unique IP address based on the user ID string 20 by referring to the database 30, the server 16 sends the unique IP address to the remote computer 12 via, for example, the modems 24, 26 and the telephone lines 22. The remote computer 12 gains access to the network 14 through the remote access server 16 and uses the IP address to communicate with, and utilize the services and resources available on, the network 14, col 4, ln 11-18/ col 8, ln 5-26).

9. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Shalit and Peon with Slaughter to incorporate the feature of unique identifier is a location from which the given conferee can initiate communications to

Art Unit: 2195

access conferencing services because this allows one or more remote computers to access simultaneously a local computer network, even if each of the remote computers employs a different protocol.

10. **As to claim 2**, Shalit teaches receiving at least one request from the given conferee includes receiving a request from a host to enroll in conferencing services (para [0093], ln 1-6).

11. **As to claim 3**, Shalit teaches receiving at least one request from the given conferee includes receiving a request from a participant associated with a given enrolled conference call host Para [0015], ln 1-7).

12. **As to claim 4**, Peon teaches receiving data representing at least one unique identifier includes obtaining data representing at least one voice print of the conferee (col 5, ln 15-25).

13. **As to claim 5**, Shalit teaches receiving data representing at least one unique identifier includes obtaining data representing at least one voice print of the conferee when the conferee enrolls to receive conferencing services (para [0028], ln 1-8).

14. **As to claim 6**, Peon teaches receiving data representing at least one unique identifier includes obtaining data representing at least one of an e-mail address, a Universal Resource Locator, a browser cookie, an IP address, and an SIP address (col 1, ln 64-67).

15. **As to claim 8**, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.

16. **As to claim 9**, Peon teaches receiving data representing the at least one unique identifier associated to at least one device from which the given conference call host may originate at least one request to access the given conference call( col 3, ln 23-30).

17. **As to claim 10**, Shalit teaches receiving data includes receiving a unique telephone

number to be dialed by the given conference call host (para [0096], ln 1-7).

18. **As to claim 11**, Shalit teaches wherein receiving data includes receiving data representing the unique identifier from the given conference call host (para [0096], ln 1-7).

19. **As to claim 12**, Peon teaches receiving data representing the unique identifier from a device associated with the given conference call host (col 3, ln 23-30).

20. **As to claim 13**, Shalit teaches providing data includes providing at least one telephone 25 numbers to the given conference call (para [0096], ln 1-7).

21. **As to claim 14**, Shalit teaches configuring at least one component includes configuring the component to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call in response to recognition of the access information and the at least one unique identifier(para[0113], ln 4-9/ para[0028], ln 4-11).

22. **As to claim 15**, Shalit teaches receiving at least one request includes receiving at least one request from the given conference call host to subscribe to pre-paid conferencing services (para [0056], ln 1-5).

23. **As to claim 16**, Peon teaches receiving at least one request includes receiving at least one communication from the given conference call host to subscribe to conferencing services (col 1, ln 55-62).

24. **As to claim 17**, Peon teaches receiving data representing at least one unique identifier includes automatically capturing the data representing the at least one identifier during the communication (col 2, ln 17-22).

25. **As to claim 18**, Peon teaches automatically capturing the data includes automatically capturing data identifying a handset from which the communication originated (col 2, ln 17-22).

26. **As to claim 19**, Shalit teaches the method of claim 18, wherein configuring at least one component includes populating at least part of a data store with the data identifying the handset (para [0028], ln 1-10).

27. **As to claim 20**, Shalit teaches receiving data representing at least a further unique identifier being associated with at least a further handset from which the given conference call host may initiate at least one communication to access conferencing services( para[0028], ln 1-10).

28. **As to claim 21**, Shalit teaches receiving data representing at least one unique identifier includes receiving data representing a plurality of telephone numbers corresponding to a plurality of respective handsets from which the given conference call host may initiate at least one communication to access conferencing services( para[0096], ln 1-20).

29. **As to claim 22**, Peone teaches wired handset from which the given conference call hosts may initiate at least one communication to access conferencing services (col 2, ln 15-30).

30. **As to claim 23**, Peone teaches receiving data representing at least one identifier includes receiving data representing at least one telephone number associated with a wireless handset from which the given conference call host may initiate at least one communication to access conferencing services( col 2, ln 15-20).

31. **As to claim 24**, Shalit teaches providing to the given conference call host data representing at least a further telephone number, wherein the given conference call host can access conferencing services to a given conference call by dialing at least the further telephone number( para[0096], ln 1-10).

32. **As to claim 25**, Shalit teaches data representing at least one telephone number includes



providing data representing a telephone number dedicated to only the given conference call host( para[0096], ln 1-10).

33. **As to claim 26**, Shalit teaches providing data representing at least one telephone number includes providing data representing a telephone number shared by a plurality of conference call hosts (para [0088], ln 1-3).

34. **As to claims 27, 28**, they are apparatus claims of claims 14, 15; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 14, 15 above.

35. **As to claim 29**, Peon teaches representing the at least one unique identifier into a data store (col 10, ln 25-35).

36. **As to claim 30**, Peon teaches configuring at least one component includes configuring at least one voice response unit to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call in response to recognizing the at least one unique identifier in connection with at least one communication received from the given conference call host (col 10, ln 25-35).

37. **As to claims 31, 32**, they are apparatus claims of claim 14; therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 14 above.

38. **As to claim 33**, Peon teaches supporting at least one server that is adapted to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call in response to recognizing the at least one unique identifier in connection with at least one communication received from the given conference call host( col 7, ln 42-50).

39. **As to claims 34, 35, 36** they are apparatus claims of claim 14; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 14 above.

40. **As to claim 37**, Peon teaches configuring at least one component includes configuring

Art Unit: 2195

20 the at least one component to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call without any further input from the given conference call host( col 12, ln 40-49).

41. **As to claim 38**, Peon teaches configuring at least one component includes configuring the at least one component to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call without entry of any further data by the given conference call host( col 12, ln 40-49).

42. **As to claim 39**, Peon teaches configuring at least one component includes configuring the at least one component to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call without entry of any further data by the given conference call host, besides dialing a telephone number to access conferencing services( col 12, ln 40-49).

43. **As to claim 40**, Peon teaches wherein configuring at least one component includes configuring the at least one component to connect the given conference call host directly to the given conference call by bypassing entry of at least one conference pass code by the given conference call host( col 7, ln 20-28).

44. **As to claims 41, 42**, they are apparatus claims of claim 1; therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.

45. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Shalit (US 2002 /0122391 A1) in views of Peon et al (US. Patent 7,133665 B1) in view of Slaughter (US 5598536 A), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bieselin (US 5559875).

46. **As to claim 7**, Shalit, Peon and Slaughter do not teach biometric parameter associated with the conferee. However, Bieselin teaches biometric parameter associated with the conferee (speech processing which uses a conference participant's voice print to identify the conference participant speaking may be employed, col 7, and ln 15-22).

47. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Shalit, Peon and Slaughter with Bieselin to incorporate the feature of teach biometric parameter associated with the conferee because this provides the additional information, for example, the spoken names of the conference participants, to the user.

#### **Response to the argument**

30. Applicant's arguments filed 02/29 /2008 for claims 1-42 have been considered but are not persuasive in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

June 20, 2008

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Application/Control Number: 10/812,855  
Art Unit: 2195

Page 12